



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Pos 1450 Adexadra, Vigan.a. 22313-1450 www.uspto.dov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09 651,310	08.30,2000	Shunpei Yamazaki	07977/151002/US3339DI	2287

7590 09 12:2003

Scott C Harris Fish & Richardson PC 4350 La Jolla Village Drive Suite 500 San Diego, CA 92122 EXAMINER
PARKER, KENNETH

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2871

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_ :		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/651,310	YAMAZAKI, SHUNPE	YAMAZAKI, SHUNPEI	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Kenneth A Parker	2871		
	The MAILING DATE of this communicati	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ss	
Period fo		DEDLY IC CET TO EVOIDE AM	ONTH(C) EDOM		
THE I - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) data period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the Office later than three months after the patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b)	TION.  CFR 1 136(a) In no event, however, may a ration. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely  ITHS from the mailing date of this comm  ANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133)	nunication	
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o				
2a) <u></u> ☐	, ,	☐ This action is non-final.		41 *	
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice ion of Claims	r allowance except for formal ma under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	iters, prosecution as to the r D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	nerits is	
•	Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 14-21</u> is/are pending	in the application			
4)23	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
,	Claim(s) 1-11 and 14-21 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
. —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.			
	ion Papers				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by t	he Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objecti				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed or		lisapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are require				
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.			
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc				
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc			-222	
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of t application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age	
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for c	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).	
į	a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign langu Acknowledgment is made of a claim for $\alpha$	age provisional application has t	een received.		
Attachme					
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-		

Application/Control Number: 09/651,310 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

New rejections are presented below in response to a newly discovered reference. Any previous indication or implication that claims were allowable has been reconcidered in response to the newly discovered reference(s).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 8-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 8 and 16, it is unclear if the langauge "least a register circuit, a NAND circuit, a level shifter circuit, <u>OR</u> a buffer circuit" is intended on being an alternative form or not. For examination purposes, it is assumed that the langauge is alternative, so reference showing only a buffer circuit are sufficient to meet the claimed limitation.

In claims 9 and 17, the language "does not include a black matrix" is indefinite, as many element function as a black matrix, such as the gate and source lines, and therefore it is unclear if lacking a black matrix means to omit these lines.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obvious to one of ordinary skill ness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Art Unit: 2871

skill at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-5, 8, 9-10, 14-18, 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Misawa 5250931in view of Lebrun et al 5606194, Castleberry 4904056 and Adachi et al, 5155612.

Claim 1 is written to A display device comprising: a pair of substrates; an active matrix circuit and peripheral driver circuit provided on one of the pair of the substrates; and a sealing member formed substrates so to seal the peripheral driver circuit, with sealing member being capable light blocking wherein said sealing member comprises a pigment for light blocking. Claim 8 is an electronic device comprising: first substrate and a second substrate; a driver circuit region formed on said first substrate, said diver circuit region having at least one of a register circuit, NAND circuit, level shifter circuit and a buffer circuit; an active matrix region formed on said first substrate, said active matrix region having at least a pixel; a sealing member formed between said first and second substrates, said sealing member bonding said first and second substrates and covering said driver circuit region; wherein said sealing member shields said wherein said sealing member comprises a pigment for light blocking. Clam 16 is a display device comprising: at least a first substrate and a second substrate; formed on said first a driver circuit region substrate, said diver circuit region having at least a register circuit, a NAND circuit, a level shifter circuit, **OR** a buffer circuit, wherein at least a CMOS transistor is formed in driver circuit region, CMOS thin film transistor and a first nchannel p-channel thin film transistor; an active matrix region formed said first substrate, said active matrix region having at least a pixel, wherein a second p-channel film

Art Unit: 2871

transistor formed in said pixel; a sealing member formed between said first and second substrates and covering said driver circuit region; and sealing member bonding said first and second wherein said sealing member comprises pigment light blocking and wherein said sealing member shields said driver circuit region from light.

Misawa discloses a liquid crystal device with P and N type cmos transistors in the driver circuits which include a buffer, and P or N drivers in the active region. The device includes the use as a projectior, and is not shown with a black matrix. Lacking is the sealant over the circuit with a pigment for blocking light. Lebrun et al teaches that using a sealant over the circuit (figure 1) enables the low cost protection, and having the sealant be opaque gives the added benefit of protecting the circuit from light. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill, in the device of Misawa, to employ an opaque seal for enclosing the liquid crystal and bonding the substrate to cover the circuit for the low cost protection form the environment and light. Still lacking is the use of a pigment.

Adachi et al teaches that the sealants need to be able to block light, and adds pigments to the dyes to assure sufficient light blocking (col. 7, lines 16-20). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill, in the device of Hayashi, to use a light blocking sealant with coloring agents (pigment), to ensure sufficient light blocking as taught by Adachi et al. Many of the low cost conventional sealants, such as polyimide and acrylic require pigment pigments to block light. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to employ pigments in order to enable use of the conventional low cost materials.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/651,310

Art Unit: 2871

The rejection can be viewed as Lebrun in view of Misawa and the two secondary references Adachi and Castleberry, both provided to show the well known nature of the use of dyes for creating light blocking sealants. Misawa teaches that their CMOS active matrix and drivers enables low cost with a high reliability and resolution (column 2, lines 38-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill, in the device of Lebrun, to employ the CMOS active matrix and driver structure of Misawa for the benefits of low cost with a high reliability and resolution. This teaching of Misawa was, at the time of invention, notoriously well known, and would have been further obvious to one of ordinary skill for that reason. For this version, still lacking is the use of a pigment. Adachi et al teaches that the sealants need to be able to block light, and adds pigments to the dyes to assure sufficient light blocking (col. 7, lines 16-20). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill, in the device of Hayashi, to use a light blocking sealant with coloring agents (pigment), to ensure sufficient light blocking as taught by Adachi et al.

Claims 5, 7, 15 and 21 are a display device according to claim 1 wherein the substrates are bonded each other with the sealing member and a device according to claim 1 further comprising a liquid crystal material between the substrates, wherein the sealing member seals the liquid crystal material and claim 15 is a device according claim 8 further comprising liquid crystal material injected between the first and the second substrate. Claim 21 is a device according claim 16 further comprising a liquid crystal material injected between the first substrate and the second substrate. These are met by Misawa as modified by Lebrun as the Misawa is modified to include the light

Art Unit: 2871

blocking seal of Lebrun which provides these functions. Claims 10 and 18 are a device according to claim 8 wherein said shift register circuit comprises least a clocked inverter and inverter. As the shift register is part of the OR, so is met by the buffer whether or not this claim language is met. Regarding claim 14 which is an electronic device according to claim 8 wherein said device is a projector, the projector is explicitly taught by the Misawa. Claim 3 is a display device according claim 1 wherein one of an electrode or a wiring line connected to a source or drain of a thin-film transistor formed in the matrix circuit is one of a metal film, a semiconductor film, and a silicide film, and wherein a light blocking film for the thin-film transistor is formed by using the one the of the metal film, the semiconductor film, and a silicide film. This is met by the combined references as the secondary reference places a light blocking film over all of the elements of the driver circuit.

Claim 4 is a display device according to claim 1 wherein said pair of the substrates are glass substrates or quartz substrates. These were the conventionally used materials, and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill for that reason.

Claims 2, 6, 7, 9, 11 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Misawa 5250931in view of Lebrun et al 5606194, Castleberry 4904056 and Adachi et al, 5155612 as applied above, and further in view of Wakai 5003356.

Claims 2, 9 and 7 are a display device according claim 1 wherein active matrix circuit has pixels arranged in matrix form, and wherein regions in each of the pixels

Art Unit: 2871

where source lines and drain lines overlap with a pixel electrode form a black matrix. Claim 9 is a device according to claim 8 wherein said device does not include a black matrix. Claim 17 is a device according to claim 16 wherein said device does not include a black matrix. The use of the pixels overlapping the gate and source lines was a notoriously well known method of providing a low cost black matrix in liquid crystal devices. This is evidenced by Wakai. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to overlap these for the benefit of the low cost.

Claims 6, 11 and 19 are a device according to claim 1 further comprising: at least CMOS transistor formed in the driver circuit region, said CMOS transistor having an nchannel thin film transistor and a p-channel thin transistor; a film transistor formed in each pixel in the active matrix circuit, said thin film transistor having at an active layer, to said active layer, a gate electrode adjacent to said gate insulating film, wherein light blocking film is formed said gate electrode. Claim 19 is substantially a device according to claim 16 wherein, said first p-channel thin film transistor comprises, first source region and a first drain region formed over said first substrate, a first channel forming region formed between said first region and source regions, a first gate insulating region formed adjacent to said source and drain regions and said first channel forming region, a first gate electrode formed adjacent to said first gate insulating film, said n-channel thin film transistor comprises, a third region and a third drain region formed over said first substrate, a third channel forming region formed between said third source and drain regions, a third gate insulating region formed adjacent to said third source and drain regions and said third channel forming region, a third gate

Art Unit: 2871

electrode formed adjacent to said third gate insulating film, said second p-channel thin film transistor comprises, second source region and a second drain region formed over said first substrate, a second channel forming region formed between second source and drain regions, second gate said second source and drain regions and second channel forming region second gate electrode formed adjacent said second gate insulating film, wherein a second gate electrode, with light blocking film is formed. Claim 11 is a device according claim 8 further comprising: at least a CMOS transistor formed in said driver circuit region, said CMOS transistor having an n-channel thin transistor and a p-channel thin transistor; a thin film transistor formed in said pixel, said thin film transistor having at least an active layer, a gate insulating film adjacent said active layer, a gate electrode adjacent to said gate insulating film, and further comprising a light blocking film formed over said gate electrode. It was well known to employ a light block as claimed to prevent light activation. This is evidenced by Wakai, which teaches such a structure for this benefit (figure 13 and related discussion). Therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to use a metal light block near the gate for the reasons taught by Wakai and well known in the art.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 20 is a device according to claim 19 further comprising: a first pair of high concentration impurity regions formed

Art Unit: 2871

between said first source and said first channel forming region and between said first channel forming region and said first drain region; a pair of low concentration impurity regions formed between said third source and said third channel forming region and between said and said drain region; and impurity regions formed between said second source and said second channel forming region and between said second channel forming region said second drain region. This was not found taught by the prior art.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth A Parker whose telephone number is 703-305-6202. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 305-3492. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose dephone number is 308-0956.

Kenneth A Parker

Art Unit: 2871

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871 Page 10

+++